Doc. No. 25044

page 1.

Extract from Interrogation of Hideki Tojo 19 February 1946, Case File #20, Serial #73, pp. 1-2, 4-5, 6-7, 8, 11.

(pp 1-2)

- You stated at the last interrogation that Japan felt that, by her final note breaking off diplomatic relations, she acquired freedom of action from the standpoint of international treaties. Did she acquire the same freedom from the standpoint of international law?
- "A I think so.
- Prior to making the attacks on the United States and Great Britain, this whole matter of the responsibility under treaties and under international law had been considered by you and the cabinet?
- \*A Of course they were. It is important to remember that this matter was the grave responsibility of the Foreign Ministry.
- \*Q what did the Foreign Ministry report to the cabinet on the matters of treaties and international law prior to the cabinet making its decision?
- The Foreign Minister reported only the gist of it to the cabinet. What was done afterwards was the sole responsibility of the Foreign Minister.
- \*Q and the gist of what was reported by the Foreign Minister to the cabinet was that there was nothing in the treaties or international law that prevented the attacks on the United States and Great Britain after the last note had been dilivered?
- The whole cabinet attended the Imperial Conference on the 1st or 2nd which made the decision for war. Hence, the whole cabinet knew, generally speaking, that that decision had been made, since everyone attended, but the problem of how the final note was to be dispatched was a technical question which was handled by the Foreign Minister.
- Who informed you and the cabinet that there was nothing in any treaty or in international law that would preclude the attack being made on the United States and Great Britain?
- "A It was the result of my research. We had been challenged and were acting in self-defense. Therefore, I thought that we were not impeded from the standpoint of international law or treaties.

Doc. No. 2504 A

page 2.

- \*Q Did the cabinet agree with you?
- \*A Yes. They all agreed.
- \*Q Did the Foreign Ministry agree?
- \*A · Yes. They also studied the question and agreed.
- "Q We will read all that back to you to make sure there is no mistake as to the questions and your answers.
- \*A /This was done and the witness agreed as to its correctness./
- \*Q when did you begin to study these matters?
- \*A From the time that the problem arose."

. . . . .

(pp 4-5)

- \*Q At this last Liaison Conference preceding the Imperial conference of December 1st or 2nd, you expressed the same views on international laws and treaties which you arrived at as a result of your studies?
- ·A Yes.
- So that when the Liaison Conference arrived at a final decision to attack America and Great Britain, they had accepted your views on these matters?
- \*A Yes, of course they had.
- "Q Who, in addition to yourself, spoke at that conference, expressing similar views in regard to international laws and treaties?
- The Foreign Minister spoke on this point since he was the one chiefly responsible, and my researches resulted in my agreement with his views. There was no question raised by any other member present since the responsible officials had made that decision.
- Prior to the attacks on Great Britain and the United States, why was not a declaration of war made to either?
- We thought that when the final note, implying a rupture in diplomatic relations, was delivered, that treaty procedure was finished and that we acquired freedom of action. Especially on the assumption of acting in self-defense in response to the challenge from the inglo-imerican side, we thought that it was particularly appropriate.

PURL: http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/f83390/

(pp 6-7)

- \*Q How long before the actual attack was the note to be delivered?
- There were various opinions advanced and argued about between the Chiefs of Staff and the Foreign Minister.

  There was one idea that the delivery of the note should precede the attack by an hour and a half; another, that it should precede it by an hour, another, by thirty minutes, and so forth. I do not clearly remember what was the final decision.
- But all views were to the effect that the hour set for the time of the delivery of the note was to be one that would not interfere with the success of the surprise attack on Pearl Harbor?
- "A It should not interfere with the success of the surprise attack, but also, from the Foreign Minister's point of view, the note had to be delivered before the attack.
- \*Q But the primary matter was to have the attack a successful one, was it not?
- Since it was a matter of war, the success of the surprise attack was very important, but also the diplomatic procedures were of great importance. It was not a question of which was most important they were both extremely important. Especially since the Emperor had cautioned us in regard to it, the latter point was important.
- \*Q If the diplomatic procedure was so important and the Emperor had specifically cautioned you about it, why was it not arranged so that more notice would be given to the United States?
- From the standpoint of strategy, since it was war, the necessity for victory had to be considered also, and the possibility of victory was intimately related to the question of time. I was extremely anxious as to the success of this surprise attack. That also was a grave matter.
- "Q We will read the answers back to you so there will be no misunderstandings.
- "A /This was done and the witness agreed that they were correct/."

(p. 8)

- \*Q Do you not agree that the attack made under such circumstances was nothing but murder and not warfare?
- No, I don't agree. I think it was legal defense in the face of challenge.
- \*Q Do you, as a Japanese, feel proud of the fact that several thousand Americans were killed at Pearl Harbor in this manner?

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

- "A No, I am not proud of it.
  - \*/Session adjourned at 12:25 for luncheon/
  - "/Session resumed at 1345 hours/
- I should like to make a supplementary explanation of my answer to the last question as to whether I was proud that several thousands of Americans were casualties as a result of the attack on Pearl Harbor.

"I sympathize with those who died, but Japan had been challenged and so she took justifiable self-defense.

The English and American Governments had menaced Japan militarily and economically and they should have had a suitable attitude of readiness. Furthermore, the attack was against military objectives."

Doc. No. 25044

(p. 11)

- you and the cabinet intended four surprise attacks to be executed at the same time against possessions of the United States and Great Britain?
- \*A The cabinet did not know. I knew, in my capacity as War Minister.
- \*Q The cabinet did know that America and Great Britain were going to be attacked without warning?
- No. At that time they and I thought that Ambassador NCMURA would have delivered the note before the attack.
- How much notice did you and the cabinet believe that either the United States or Great Britain was going to get in this connection?
- \*A As I explained before, there were various theories as to the amount of time an hour and a half, one hour, thirty minutes. I thought that there would be at least thirty minutes.\*



## 東條英松三對スル副問ョリノ故悲

## 2504A-1

「谷」ないサウ思とマス。

- **鷹サレマシタカ・ニツイテノ全間圏ガ資方ト内閉ニョッテ考・生ルノ園際條約ト園院法下ノ資任トイフ与「同」台茶園下英國二数少政塾ヲ開始スルニ先立**
- りゃス。其任アッタをヲ蘇記シテ己ク亜ガ大切テア「苦」勿當サレマシタ。此ノ問題へ外務省ノ重大
- **する** ノ事柄ニツイテ何ント内倒ニ類告シマシタ「問」外務省へ閱點於定二先立チ、條約1回際法

- **賞任デアリマンと。

  1、会ニナサレを私へ外恋大臣ノ星幼「客」外記大臣へ唯皇ニ兵ノ皇旨ヲ内Fニ薪告シ**
- ナイトイン哲テアリマシ々のの記りのが大十円と言うではないない。日日左上京公正郎子交後へ合宗に「李門二堂文ル政「同」ソッテ、外營大臣ノ門局へノ書告ノ至旨人
- (し々技術的程息チェリャンからかりとりとして、
   ルットイン門をへ外して出ニョッチ下りをシャッを後薄膜が如何ニ記器サルベキチャロ・デス。
   なッチ后マック。信数ナレバ金原出席シャンは記さまりましままい。
   「谷口金に長へ降し、然気ラシャー日叉へニ日ノー目へ
- 少女子。少政家乡十天乡前分之何似年十十八告ゲマラ王問際在上二於十千年合於國卜英后二對「自」劉才實方卜所記二、如何十八條如中二於十一日」

支へナイモノデアルト私へ考へタノデス。デス。ソレ故臨除法又へ條約ノ見地カラ差挑災セラレ、自衞ノタメ行動シテ居ツタ譚「答」ソレへ私ノ研究ノ結果デアリマシタ。

「問」閉員の貴方ノ意見ニ同意シャシタカ。

「答」サウデス、彼等へ凡子同意シャシタ。

「問」外部省そ同意シャシタカ

ンチ、同意シャング。「答」サウデス。外笏省ノ岩モ亦ソノ問題,研究

シマス。カメルタメ以上ノ事スペテヲ貴方ニ酸ミ返「問」炎々へ問愿ト貴方ノ答ニ酸 器・ナイ等ァ確

イ寧ニ同意ヲ表シマシタレ「答」「必ノ敵ミ返シガナサレ、整人ハソノ正シ

シタカの「問」何時頃カラ貴方(此等)事ヲ研究少始メマ

「答」ソノ問題が起ッタ時カラデス。

 $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$ 

「器四一五頁」

ルソノ見解フ被燃なマシタカ。完ノ結果到達シム酶際法ト即除條約二關スク優後ノ連絡合設っ於イ子費方へ貴方ノ研「問」十二月一日又へ二日ノ葡萄官改二先立ツ比

「物」サマアスの

子居タノデスネ、此等ノ問題ニツイテノ責方ノ見解ヲ承認シノ猥役決定ニ到 我シタトキニハ既ニ彼等ハ「問」ソレ故、該連絡會議がアメリカト英副攻撃

「杏」サウデス。勿勉彼等へ承認が子居りマシタ

シタカ 「鼠を同様・見解ラ表明シマ国際法ト総約ニ罰を同様・見解ラ表明シマ「問」責方以外ニソノ合称ニ於イテ誰レガ發言シ

テシタ。 人トシチ盾疑ヲ提起シタモ/デハアリマセンウィフ決定ヲシタ故ニ他ノ出席員中誰シーンで、こ見解ト一致シマシタ。 實任百廳ガサニツィヲ恋言シマシタ。ソシテ私ノ研究「問」外務大臣へ主ナル賞任者デシタノデ、此ノ デスカ、チラニモ何故開際宣言ガナサレナカツタノ「間」英國ト合衆國ニ對スル攻張ニ先立ツテ、ド

レハ牌 I 安宮 デァルト考へマシタのデアルトノ 優定 II タッテキルノ デ炎々ハソラノ 就駅 I 對願シテ自衛行動 II 出テ居ルノ自由ヲ 淡得シタト考ヘマシタ珠 I 英米側カ平額へ終ッテキルノデアッテ決々へ行動ノル最 後題縣 ガ手交セラレタ時ソノ條約上ノブサ」式々ハ、外交關係ノြ節の意味 n 含シディ

 $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$ 

「第大ー七風」

4英金年消電 多年ニ単型・1~百年・組長「同」とりのライトト 古間 三日 エー・

々ノ意見ガアリマシ々覧公的供完才何ンテ問嗣ニナサルベシトカ三十分前トカ某他色ニナサルベキデアルトノ意見モアリ、一時受後強靡ノ手交へ政學ニ先立ツ事一時間中監影サレマシタ。 「省」色々意見が出予問繼及ト外於大臣トノ問デ

アリタカなへの様一記憶シテ店リアャン。

経査ノモノデアりマシ々の。 ノ前ゲトナラナイ海剥テアルベキダトイフメラレタ降割八原転舗ニジスル首撃ノ底勢「同」シロシ、ドノ畜晃王整位追原子交ノ路ニキ

センテン々。 ラ空役運際へ攻撃前ニ字交サレネパナリマ センテン々だ、シロシ交外務大臣ノ見也コーを」ソレへ音覧ノ原功ラ前ゲルベキテヘアリマ

子意くレー佐濯ノ湾定い闇間ツィ王ではふ「関」、ロッペチスチューエン・ロッペチスチューエン

- **~ 窓へツタノデ後ノ髭へ重要デアリマシタスに関下ヨリソノ髭ニツイテ吾々ニ御往意双方共位度ニ直要デアリマシタっトイン問題デヘアリマセンデシタート・フ問題デヘアリマセンデシターーフリマシタソレハドチラガ重要デアツタカ外流上ノ手額トイフ事モ非常ニ重要ナ事デハ非常ニ大切ナ等デアリマシタ、シカシス「笠」ソレム戦争ノコト波ニ存む/成功トイフ章**
- 大カツタノデスカ 数シテ、モツト前二知ラセル様ナ手配ラシ異へラレタノデァルナラバ、何故合衆園ニ且ツ天皇ガソノ點ニ付子實方二烷二注意ラ「間」 浩シモ外交上ノ手額ガソンナニ重頭デアリ
- 示意大ナ等ディリマシタ。 対非常 ! 氣懸リニナッテ居マシタ。ソレモ係シテ居リマシタ。 払こへ此ノ呑動ノ成をソシテ勝利ノ可能性へ時ノ問題ト密接二闘必要モ亦考慮サレネパナリマセンデシタ。「**達」**駅争ノコト放、作瞑上ノ見地カラ、勝利ノ
- 顔と返へシマス「関」認解ガナイ後ニスルタメ右ノ答辯ヲ貴方ニ

「第八頁」

ハナク窓人ニ外ナラスト貴方思ヒマセンカ「間」ソノボナ狀況ノ下ニナサレタ攻撃ハ殿年デ

スニ直面シテノ正営ナ防衛デアツタト思ヒマ「答」イーエ、私ハサウ思ヒマセン、ソレハ洗験

賞ヲ誇リニ感ジマスカノ様ニシテ原珠湾ニ於テ怨サレタトイフ事「問」貴方へ日本人トシテ数干ノアメリカ人ガコ

「答」イ、エ、私ハソノ事ヲ語リト思ヒマセン。

ノタメ休憩し「訳問ノタメノ會見ハ十二時二十五分整食

セラル」「訳問ノタメノ會見ハ十三時四十五分再開

こツィテ衛足説明シタイト思ヒマスカ否カトイフ最後ノ盾問ニ對スル私ノ答辯トシテ死傷スルニ至ツタ事ヲ善リニ思ツタ「谷」対ハ茲干ノアメリカ人ガ真珠微攻斃ノ結果

デアリマス」 シノ上攻撃へ軍事目標ニ對シテ行ハレタノ 曽人覚悟ラシテ居ル可キデアリマシタ。 脅威シタノデアリマシタ。従ツテ彼等へ相 英國ト米國政府へ日本ラ軍事的ニ經濟的ニ スっ スっ ツテ、ソノタメ正當防衛ニ出タノデアリマ シマス、シカシ日本へ就殿セラレタノデア 「以ハソノタメ死ンダ人々ニ對シテ同情致

 $\times$   $\times$   $\times$   $\times$ 

- 「十1 | 二 ]
- さ。除二四ツノ谷類ヲ實行サセルツモリデシタ「同」資方ト内閣へ合衆副ト英副ノ領地一對シ同
- 容称二於テ知ツテ居りマシタ「答」内閣へ知りマセンデシタ、私へ簡單大臣ノ
- ニナルノヲ知ツテ居マシタカ「問」内間へ米励ト英國が無警告予攻選サレル事
- ッテ居マシタ・ 認前二通牒フ 写交シタデアツタダラウト思「本」イイエ、ソノ當時彼等ト私へ野村大使ガ攻
- テ居マシタカノコトニ付テドノ位前ニ知ラサレルト思ツ「同」賞方ト内闘へ合衆副力英副ノドチラカガコ
- **今間ハアルモノト思ツテ居りマシタ。こ色々ノ髭ガアリマシタ。 払ハ少クトモサッイテハ一時間牛、一時間卅分、トイフ様「答」払ハ前ニモ説明シタ様二時間ノ長サノ點ニ**